



Perceptions of safety: Breakdown by gender

Downloaded from <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/safety/perceptions-of-safety/#ink-breakdown-by-gender> on 13/12/2019 5:59 AM



Figures 1.5a and 1.5b show that some aspects of the context differentially influence men and women's perceptions of safety.

The results highlight women's statistically significantly lower perception of safety in outdoor environments after dark (for example, in 2019, only 40.4% of female respondents indicated feeling fairly or very safe walking in the city/town centre after dark, compared to 66.6% of males).

Data Sources for Perceptions of safety

Source: Canterbury District Health Board.

Survey/data set: Canterbury Wellbeing Survey to 2019. Access publicly available data from the Community and Public Health (Canterbury DHB) website www.cph.co.nz/your-health/wellbeing-survey/

Source data frequency: Annually.

Metadata for the Perceptions of safety indicator is available at <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/index-data>

REFERENCES

This is the full reference list for **Safety**.

- 1 Department of Justice Equality and Law Reform (2009) *Fear of Crime in Ireland and its Impact on Quality of Life: A Report Commissioned by the National Crime Council* Dublin: The Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform
- 2 Schweitzer J, Kim J, Mackin J (1999) The impact of the built environment on crime and fear of crime in urban neighbourhoods. *Journal of Urban Technology* 6: 59–73.
- 3 Taylor R (1995) The impact of crime on communities. *The American Academy of Political and Social Science* 593: 28–45.
- 4 Parkes A, Kearns A, Atkinson R (2002) What makes people dissatisfied with their neighbourhoods? *Urban Studies* 39: 2413–2438.
- 5 Permentier M, Bolt G, van Ham M (2011) Determinants of neighbourhood satisfaction and perception of neighbourhood reputation. *Urban Studies* 48: 977–996.
- 6 Porter M (1996) The competitive advantage of the inner city. In: Gate RL, Stout F, editors. *The city reader*. 5 ed. Oxford: Routledge.
- 7 Turner R (1999) Entrepreneurial neighborhood initiatives: political capital in community development. *Economic Development Quarterly* 13: 15–22.
- 8 McGahey R (1986) Economic conditions, neighborhood organization, and urban crime. *Communities and Crime* 8: 231–270.
- 9 Waldegrave C, Waldegrave K (2009) *Healthy families, young minds and developing brains: enabling all children to reach their potential*. Wellington: Families Commission.
- 10 Currie J, Widom CS (2010) Long-term consequences of child abuse and neglect on adult economic well-being. *Child Maltreatment* 15: 111–120.
- 11 Springer KW, Sheridan J, Kuo D, Carnes M (2003) The Long-term Health Outcomes of Childhood Abuse. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 18: 864–870.
- 12 Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, et al. (2009) Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *The Lancet* 373: 68–81.
- 13 Infometrics Ltd. (2008) *The nature of economic costs from child abuse and neglect in New Zealand: A report by Infometrics for Every Child Counts (ECC discussion Paper 1, June)*. Wellington: Every Child Counts.
- 14 Ferraro KF (1995) *Fear of Crime: Interpreting Victimization Risk*. Albany, State University of New York: University of New York Press.
- 15 Jewkes Y (2011) *Key approaches to criminology*. Los Angeles ; London: SAGE.
- 16 Pink B (2011) *Australian and New Zealand Standard Offence Classification (ANZSOC) Australia 2011 (Third edition)*. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics.
- 17 Kelley BT, Thornberry TP, Smith CA (1997) *In the wake of childhood maltreatment*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice.
- 18 Oranga Tamariki (2018) Oranga Tamariki notifications assessments: Total and distinct children and young people with reports of concern requiring further action, by notifier type, for last two financial years www.msd.govt.nz/about-msd-and-our-work/publications-resources/statistics/cyff/investigations-and-assessments.html. In: Ministry of Social Development, editor.
- 19 Gilbert R, Fluke J, O'Donnell M, Gonzalez-Izquierdo A, Brownell M, et al. (2012) Child maltreatment: variation in trends and policies in six developed countries. *The Lancet* 379: 758–772.
- 20 Gilbert R, Kemp A, Thoburn J, Sidebotham P, Radford L, et al. (2009) Recognising and responding to child maltreatment. *The Lancet* 373: 167–180.
- 21 Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, et al. (2009) Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *The Lancet* 373: 68–81.
- 22 MacMillan HL, Wathen CN, Barlow J, Fergusson DM, Leventhal JM, et al. (2009) Interventions to prevent child maltreatment and associated impairment. *The Lancet* 373: 250–266.
- 23 Gulliver P, Fanslow J (2012) *Measurement of family violence at a population level: What might be needed to develop reliable and valid family violence indicators?* Auckland: New Zealand Family Violence Clearinghouse, the University of Auckland.
- 24 Gulliver P, Fanslow J (2013) *Family violence indicators: Can administrative data sets be used to measure trends in family violence in New*

- 25 Lauritsen JL, Rezey ML (2018) Victimization Trends and Correlates: Macro- and Microinfluences and New Directions for Research. *Annual Review of Criminology* 1: 103-121.
- 26 OECD Family Database (2013) SF3.4: Family violence. In: OECD - Social Policy Division - Directorate of Employment LaSA, editor.
- 27 Bachman R, Saltzman L (1995) *Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report: violence against women: Estimates from the redesigned survey (NCJ-154348)*. Washington, DC: Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- 28 Bowes L, Arseneault L, Maughan B, Taylor A, Caspi A, et al. (2009) School, neighborhood, and family factors are associated with children's bullying involvement: a nationally representative longitudinal study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 48: 545-553.
- 29 Hipp JR, Bauer DJ, Curran PJ, Bollen KA (2004) Crimes of Opportunity or Crimes of Emotion? Testing Two Explanations of Seasonal Change in Crime. *Social Forces* 82: 1333-1372.