

Access to transport: Breakdown by gender

Downloaded from <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/environment/access-to-transport/#link-breakdown-by-gender> on 23/02/2020 1:20 AM



The figure shows no statistically significant differences in the proportion of female and male respondents who reported being satisfied or very satisfied with their ease of access to suitable transport, in 2017, 2018, and 2019.

Data Sources for Access to transport

Source: Canterbury District Health Board.

Survey/data set: Canterbury Wellbeing Survey, 2019. Access publicly available data from the Community and Public Health (Canterbury DHB) website www.cph.co.nz/your-health/wellbeing-survey/

Source data frequency: Annually.

Metadata for the Access to transport indicator is available at <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/index-data>

REFERENCES

This is the full reference list for **Environment**.

- 1 Handy SL, Boarnet MG, Ewing R, Killingsworth RE (2002) How the built environment affects physical activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 23: 64-73.
- 2 Perdue WC, Stone LA, Gostin LO (2003) The Built Environment and Its Relationship to the Public's Health: The Legal Framework. *American Journal of Public Health* 93: 1390-1394.
- 3 Sallis JF, Spoon C, Cavill N, Engelberg JK, Gebel K, et al. (2015) Co-benefits of designing communities for active living: an exploration of literature. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 12: 30.
- 4 Björk J, Albin M, Grahn P, Jacobsson H, Ardö J, et al. (2008) Recreational values of the natural environment in relation to neighbourhood satisfaction, physical activity, obesity and wellbeing. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 62: e2.
- 5 Blaschke P (2013) Health and wellbeing benefits of conservation in New Zealand. *Science for Conservation* 321.
- 6 Juan de Dios Ortúzar, Willumsen LG (2011) *Modelling Transport*. New York: Wiley.
- 7 CERA (2012) *CERA Wellbeing Survey 2012 Report, prepared by AC Nielsen for the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority*. AC Nielsen and the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority.
- 8 CDHB (2019) *Canterbury Wellbeing Survey, June 2019: Report prepared by Nielsen for the Canterbury District Health Board and partnering agencies*. Christchurch: Canterbury District Health Board.
- 9 Cameron MP, Cochrane W, McNeill K, Melbourne P, Morrison SL, et al. (2012) Alcohol outlet density is related to police events and motor vehicle accidents in Manukau City, New Zealand. *Aust NZ J Public Health* 36: 537-542.
- 10 Livingston M, Chikritzhs T, Room R (2007) Changing the density of alcohol outlets to reduce alcohol-related problems. *Drug and Alcohol Review* 26: 557-566.
- 11 Popova S, Giesbrecht N, Bekmuradov D, Patra J (2009) Hours and days of sale and density of alcohol outlets: Impacts on alcohol consumption and damage: A systematic review. *Alcohol and Alcoholism* 44: 500-516.
- 12 Cameron MP, Cochrane W, Gordon C, Livingston M (2013) *The locally-specific impacts of alcohol outlet density in the North Island of New Zealand, 2006-2011. Research report commissioned by the Health Promotion Agency*. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency.
- 13 Lin E, Casswell S, You R (2008) *Assessment of the social impacts of gambling in New Zealand*. Auckland: Centre for Social and Health Outcomes Research and Evaluation & Te Rōpū Whāriki.
- 14 Kristiansen S, Trabjerg Camilla M (2016) Legal gambling availability and youth gambling behaviour: A qualitative longitudinal study. *International Journal of Social Welfare* 26: 218-229.
- 15 Welte JW, Barnes GM, Tidwell M-CO, Hoffman JH (2009) Legal gambling availability and problem gambling among adolescents and young adults. *International Gambling Studies* 9: 89-99.
- 16 Pearce J, Mason K, Hiscock R, Day P (2008) A national study of neighbourhood access to gambling opportunities and individual gambling behaviour. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 62: 862-868.
- 17 Binde P (2013) Why people gamble: A model with five motivational dimensions. *International Gambling Studies* 13: 81-97.
- 18 Wardle H, Keily R, Astbury G, Reith G (2014) 'Risky places?': mapping gambling machine density and socio-economic deprivation. *J Gamb Stud* 30: 201-212.
- 19 Beckert J, Lutter M (2009) The inequality of fair play: Lottery gambling and social stratification in Germany. *European Sociological Review* 25: 475-488.
- 20 Orford J, Wardle H, Griffiths M, Sproston K, Erens B (2010) The role of social factors in gambling: Evidence from the 2007 British Gambling Prevalence Survey. *Community, Work & Family* 13: 257-271.
- 21 Abbott M, Binde P, Hodgins D, Korn D, Pereira A, et al. (2013) *Conceptual Framework of Harmful Gambling: An International Collaboration*. Guelph, Ontario: Problem Gambling Research Centre (OPGRC).
- 22 Easton B (2002) Gambling in New Zealand: an economic overview. In: Curtis B, editor. *Gambling in New Zealand*. Palmerston North: Dunmore Press. pp. 45-58.
- 23 Department of Internal Affairs Gambling in Pubs and Clubs (Class 4). Wellington: The Department of Internal Affairs.

- 24 Abbott M, Bellringer M, Garrett N, Mundy-McPherson S (2016) *New Zealand National Gambling Study: Wave 3 (2014). Report number 5.* Auckland: Auckland University of Technology, Gambling and Addictions Research Centre.
- 25 CDHB (2017) *Canterbury Wellbeing Survey, June 2017: Report prepared by Nielsen for the Canterbury District Health Board and partnering agencies.* Christchurch: Canterbury District Health Board.
- 26 Environment Canterbury Regional Council (2018) *Air Quality in the Canterbury Region – Winter 2018 Update: Environment Canterbury Environmental Snapshot Report.* Christchurch: Environment Canterbury Regional Council.
- 27 World Health Organization (2013) *Health effects of particulate matter.* Copenhagen: World Health Organization.
- 28 World Health Organization (2005) *WHO Air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide: Global update 2005, Summary of risk assessment.*